

## The curious case of the point of sales and why we still need pglogical

Jaime Casanova



### **SELECT \* FROM me;**

PostgreSQL contributor

Founder of PUG of Ecuador

- Mailing list: <u>ecpug@postgresql.org</u>
- twitter: @ecpug

Community support in spanish

- pgsql-es-ayuda@postgresql.org
- <u>https://t.me/PostgreSQLes</u>

Board member of

"PostgreSQL Community Association of Canada"

CEO of Systemguards



### **SELECT \* FROM me;**

Twitter: @JCasanovaEC / @systemguards

Mail: jcasanov@systemguards.com.ec



## **Goals of this presentation**





- A normal case that is not normal
- An initial solution
- A solution that gives some problems
- Next steps
- pglogical vs. native logical replication



## A normal case that is not normal





A company in Ecuador that sales medicines in several points across the country:

- 40+ POS
  - Inventory
  - Invoicing
  - Old system in VB/MS SQL Server
  - Migrated to Odoo/PostgreSQL 11





- Company works mostly on little cities and rural areas -
- Available networks are:
   unstable
  - o slow



## An initial solution





- Every store has its own copy of the database
- Old system used a home-made script for synchronization

We use pglogical 2



- Logical decoding feature was introduced on 9.4
- pglogical
  - was developed by 2ndQuadrant (now an EDB company)
  - current open source version: 2.4.2
    - https://github.com/2ndQuadrant/pglogical
    - runs on 9.4 upto 15
- Native logical replication introduced in v10, with limited features and heavily based on **pglogical** extension



Logical replication definitions

- It does a per database replication, not whole cluster
   It does not use triggers but decode of WAL records
- We need to define what tables and sequences will be replicated and which operations (INSERT/UPDATE/DELETE)
  - replication\_set on pglogical
  - PUBLICATION on native logical replication
- a subscriber (replica) asks the origin for the information published by a PUBLICATION (replication\_set)



Common configuration



#### Configuring replication\_sets

```
1 SELECT pglogical.create replication set(
           set name := 'replication set name',
           replicate_insert:= true,
           replicate update:= true,
           replicate delete:= true,
           replicate truncate:= true
 7);
  SELECT pglogical.replication set add table(
10
           set name := 'replication set name',
           relation := 'public.table name'::regclass,
11
           synchronize data := false
12
13);
```



Classifying tables

- 139 tables whose data originated on central and must be replicated to the stores
  - o replication\_set := set\_acme\_cat\_down
- 35 tables whose data originated on the stores and must be replicated to the central
  - replication\_set := set\_acme\_cat\_up
- 5 tables that could be written anywhere and the changes must be propagated to all the stores and the central
   replication\_set := set\_acme\_shared\_tables



#### replication\_set: set\_acme\_cat\_down





On every store, to subscribe data generated on central

```
1 SELECT pglogical.create_subscription(
2 subscription_name := 'sub_acme_cat_down_central_store1',
3 provider_dsn := 'host=provider.ip port=5432 dbname=xxxx user=xxxxxx',
4 replication_sets := '{set_acme_cat_down}',
5 synchronize_structure := false,
6 synchronize_data := true,
7 forward_origins := '{}'
```



#### replication\_set: set\_acme\_cat\_up





On central, to subscribe data generated on every store

```
1 SELECT pglogical.create_subscription(
2 subscription_name := 'sub_acme_cat_up_storel_central',
3 provider_dsn := 'host=provider.ip port=5432 dbname=xxxx user=xxxxxx';
4 replication_sets := '{set_acme_cat_up}',
5 synchronize_structure := false,
6 synchronize_data := true,
7 forward_origins := '{}'
```



Does replicated data generates conflicts?

- you can avoid them by:
  - having enough information on the table
  - using uuid as primary key
  - assign a range of values per store
  - Do as instagram to generate a conflict-free ID that is deterministic: <u>https://instagram-engineering.com/sharding-ids-a</u> <u>t-instagram-lcf5a7le5a5c</u>



#### replication\_set: set\_acme\_shared\_tables



```
SELECT pglogical.create subscription(
           subscription name := 'sub acme shared storel central',
           provider dsn := 'host=provider.ip port=5432 dbname=xxxx user=xxxxx',
           replication sets := '{set acme shared tables}',
           synchronize structure := false,
           synchronize data := true,
           forward origins := '{}'
 8
   );
10
11
   SELECT pglogical.create subscription(
12
           subscription name := 'sub acme shared central store1',
13
           provider dsn := 'host=provider.ip port=5432 dbname=xxxx user=xxxxxx'
14
           replication sets := '{set acme shared tables}',
15
           synchronize structure := false,
16
           synchronize data := true,
17
           forward origins := '{all}'
                                                                                22
18);
```



# A solution that gives some problems



- The initial copy of data for set\_acme\_cat\_down replication set could take upto 10 hours
  - We use pg\_dump to move data "faster"
- After creation we need to recheck for missing rows



- A subscription generates one wal sender on the provider
  - There are two subscription getting data from central
- When the store # 70 was created... and we reached 140 decoding processes the server's temperature went up to a critical level







- A patch for using LWLocks instead of spinlocks was provided to customer
  - Álvaro Herrera contributed an improved version of
  - the patch using atomics https://www.postgresgl.org/message-id/flat/20200 831182156.GA3983@alvherre.pgsgl
- Starting 12.2 an independent commit of a patch from Pierre Ducroquet reduced the contention
  - https://www.postgresgl.org/message-id/flat/293101 0 8.Vxl9zapr77%40pierred-pdoc



## Next steps







When the store #142 was created...

1 ERROR: out of memory
2 DETAIL: Failed on request of size 724 in memory context "Tuples".
3 STATEMENT: START\_REPLICATION SLOT





## pglogical vs. native logical replication



Native logical replication

- continuously improved
- 14: large in-progress transactions could be streamed before commit
- 15: ALTER SUBSCRIPTION ... SKIP
- It always work on cascade mode
- there isn't any conflict resolution



## **Thank you** Any Questions?